OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

Date Printed: Oct 31, 2002 17:25

PAPER NUMBER:

LTR-02-0710

LOGGING DATE: 10/31/2002

ACTION OFFICE:

EDO

To: Collins, NRR

AUTHOR:

NUC NUC-EN@ECOLO.ORG

AFFILIATION:

ADDRESSEE:

Chairman

SUBJECT:

Concerns EFN - article in The Canberra Times

ACTION:

Information

DISTRIBUTION:

LETTER DATE:

10/30/2002

ACKNOWLEDGED

No

SPECIAL HANDLING:

NOTES:

FILE LOCATION:

ADAMS

DATE DUE:

DATE SIGNED:

Template: SECY-017

E-RIDS: SECY-OI

edited by Simon GROSE</P>

13

```
From:
               <nuc-en@ecolo.org>
               <chairman@nrc.gov>
To:
               Wed, Oct 30, 2002 1:54 PM
Date:
               EFN - article in The Canberra Times
Subject:
<HTML>
<HEAD>
  <TITLE>Opposition to nuclear energy shortsighted</TITLE>
  <X-CLARIS-WINDOW TOP=67 BOTTOM=762 LEFT=92 RIGHT=865>
  <X-CLARIS-TAGVIEW MODE=minimal>
</HEAD>
<BODY BGCOLOR="#FFFFFF">
<P><FONT SIZE="+1" COLOR="#000000">Dear friends of clean nuclear
energy,</FONT></P>
<P><FONT SIZE="+1" COLOR="#000000">Please find hereafter an article
published on October 17th in the Canberra Times, one of the prominent
Australian newspapers, especially read by the politicians,
intellectual circles and policy makers in Australia, but also by the
general population.</FONT></P>
<P><FONT SIZE="+1" COLOR="#000000">With many thanks to Simon Grose
for giving us this opportunity of writing in the the Science and
Technology section of this respected newspaper.</FONT></P>
<FONT SIZE="+1" COLOR="#000000">With kindest regards,</FONT></P>
<P>&nbsp;</P>
<P><FONT SIZE="+1" COLOR="#000000">Bruno Comby</FONT></P>
<P><FONT SIZE="+1" COLOR="#000000">President of EFN<BR>
Environmentalists For Nuclear Energy</FONT></P>
<P>&nbsp;</P>
</FONT></P>
<CENTER>&nbsp;
<FONT SIZE="+3" COLOR="#006600"><B>Opposition to nuclear energy is
shortsighted</B></FONT></P>
<P><FONT SIZE="+1" COLOR="#000099">This article has been published on
Thursday, 17 October 2002<BR>
in the Canberra Times (Australia): </FONT><A HREF="http://www.canberratimes.com.au"><FONT
SIZE="+1" COLOR="#000099">http://www.canberratimes.com.au</FONT></A></P>
<P>&nbsp;</P>
<P><FONT SIZE="+1" COLOR="#000099"><IMG
SRC="http://www.comby.org/photos/portrait-BC/BC-Portrait02-green-verSMA.jpg"
X-CLARIS-USEIMAGEWIDTH X-CLARIS-USEIMAGEHEIGHT ALIGN=bottom></FONT></P>
<P><FONT SIZE="+1" COLOR="#000099">by Bruno Comby<BR>
```

Received: from igate.nrc.gov by nrcgwia.nrc.gov; Wed, 30 Oct 2002 13:53:50 -0500 Received: from nrc.gov by smtp-gateway ESMTPæ id NAA26163 for; Wed, 30 Oct 2002 13:51:31 -0500 (EST) Received: (from nuc@localhost) by ecolo.org (8.12.5/8.11.2) id g9UIp0E7025957; Wed, 30 Oct 2002 19:51:00 +0100 Date: Wed, 30 Oct 2002 19:51:00 +0100 Message-Id: <200210301851.g9UIp0E7025957@ecolo.org> Content-type: text/html Reply-To: nuc-en@ecolo.org X-Sender: nuc-en@ecolo.org From: nuc-en@ecolo.org To: chairman@nrc.gov Subject: EFN - article in The Canberra Times

Dear friends of clean nuclear energy,

Please find hereafter an article published on October 17th in the Canberra Times, one of the prominent Australian newspapers, especially read by the politicians, intellectual circles and policy makers in Australia, but also by the general population.

With many thanks to Simon Grose for giving us this opportunity of writing in the the Science and Technology section of this respected newspaper.

With kindest regards,

Bruno Comby

President of EFN Environmentalists For Nuclear Energy

Opposition to nuclear energy is shortsighted

This article has been published on Thursday, 17 October 2002 in the Canberra Times (Australia): http://www.canberratimes.com.au



٠ ج

by Bruno Comby edited by Simon GROSE

AS A DEDICATED environmentalist, I consider it a paradox to see today some environmental groups such as Greenpeace opposed to nuclear energy.

Nuclear energy can be a very clean energy if it is well-designed, well-constructed, and well-operated:

It produces almost no carbon dioxide and other pollutants, ejected in huge quantities into the atmosphere by the use of fossil fuels (millions of tons of sulphur and nitrogen oxides and about 30 billion tons of CO are dumped every year into the atmosphere).

It is very compact (little space is required, unlike generators of solar, wind and biomass energy).

It produces a very small volume of waste, which decays spontaneously.

It does not contribute to the greenhouse effect.

In 1996, shortly after the 10th anniversary of the Chernobyl accident, the non-profit Association of Environmentalists For Nuclear Energy was created to inform the public in a complete and objective way about energy sources and their environmental impacts.

EFN is an international network of 5000 members and supporters in 43 countries. It is based on solid scientific facts, not ideological considerations.

Among its members is Professor James Lovelock, one of the founders of the development of environmental awareness since the 1960s. Other members are distinguished scientists and environmentalists, and some are survivors of the Hiroshima atomic explosion.

EFN is in favour of clean civilian applications of nuclear energy, such as electricity production and some medical uses, but is strictly opposed to military applications of nuclear science.

EFN bases its position on the fact that nuclear fission is a clean, safe, reliable and competitive energy source, that the volume of nuclear waste produced is very small, that the waste is confined safely and can be stored safely, and that spent fuel is or can be reprocessed, as is the case in France at the La Hague reprocessing plant, where 97 per cent of the used nuclear fuel is recovered to be recycled and the remaining 3 per cent vitrified for safe storage until it decays spontaneously.

Spent nuclear fuel can and should be reprocessed, for environmental reasons if not for economic ones.

To combat the greenhouse effect and the consequent global-warming trend, nuclear fission is the only source of energy that can replace a significant part of the fossil fuels coal, oil and gas without polluting the atmosphere.

Clearly, renewable energies and energy conservation should be encouraged, but will not suffice to ensure the development of developing countries or drive our industrial societies; neither will they save the world from the dramatic energy crisis and transition that humanity will have to face soon, because of the risks and consequences of climate change on one hand and the progressive extinction of oil and gas reserves in the coming decades on the other.

Wind and solar energy produce, inconsistently, kilowatts (or at best a few megawatts here and there), but today's populations consume gigawatts and terawatts (that is to say, thousands or millions of times as much).

Whatever contribution these renewable energies may bring will be useful, but they should not be expected to contribute otherwise than in a marginal way to facing the world's growing energy demand.

Nuclear energy is the only source of energy that can and should replace fossil fuels whenever possible in the coming years. At the same time, more efficient use of energy and self-sustainable life styles should be promoted.

Renewable energies should not be excluded and it should be recognised that the latter have important niche roles to play, although their industrial potential is almost negligible.

The opposition of the environmental movement to civilian applications of nuclear energy will in time be revealed as among the greatest mistakes of our times.

Today, electricity can be produced cleanly with almost no CO2 emissions (electricity, for example, is 80 per cent nuclear and 15 per cent hydraulic in France, the cleanest and cheapest electricity produced in Europe). Tomorrow, nuclear energy will be the key also to desalination of sea water and hydrogen production.

One gram of uranium or thorium yields as much energy as one tonne of coal. It's the "factor one million" effect.

Nuclear energy's potential is indeed a million times as great as that of fossil fuels, which produce 90 per cent of the world's energy today.

These precious and polluting fossil energies should be saved for the specific needs for which they cannot be replaced, such as fuelling aircraft or providing energy for developing

countries.

They should be replaced urgently wherever possible by less polluting sources of energy such as nuclear and, with a much smaller potential, renewable energy.

The opposition of the environmental movement to civilian applications of nuclear energy will in time be revealed as among the greatest mistakes of our times.

An intelligent combination of energy conservation, to whatever extent is possible, nuclear energy for base-load electricity production and renewable energies for local low-intensity applications, is the way for the future.

EFN's membership is growing rapidly, as an increasing number of people realise the environmental benefits of an intelligent use of nuclear energy.

Local groups and associations of EFN are active and becoming increasingly popular in many countries.

I invite the readers of The Canberra Times to organise some local activities.

Why not form a local chapter of EFN in Australia?

Those who share our views may sign the petition, on the Internet, in favour of clean nuclear energy, and contact EFN to become <u>local correspondents</u>.

Bruno Comby, EFN founder and president, is a graduate of the Ecole Polytechnique in Paris and holds a postgraduate qualification as nuclear physicist from the National University of Advanced Technology of Paris.


http://www.ecolo.org http://www.comby.org

The original article can also be viewed on the Canberra Times web site:
http://canberra.yourguide.com.au/detail.asp?story_id=185801&y=2002&m=10&class=Features&subclass=

<u>©EFN 2002, all rights reserved</u> - Reproduction, use or distribution of these information, texts or images; without the association's prior written approval, is forbidden

EFN - For complete and straightforward information on energy

and the environment



To subscribe or unsubscribe to the mailing list of EFN, click on "mailing list" in the menu on EFN's web site: http://www.ecolo.org

You may, with their approval, subscribe to the mailing list all those among your friends who would like to be informed about energy and the environment.

To subscribe to our mailing list, the only condition is to approve our objectives and to type in your e-mail address in the small box above. You will then be informed automatically of EFN's activities. This subscription is entirely GRATIS. EFN does not place any commercial advertisements on it's web site, and does not sell it's mailing lists to anybody. To help EFN continue its activites and maintain this FREE service, you can subscribe or make a donation to the association.

http://www.ecolo.org